



Richmondshire Local Plan Review Issues and Options Consultation

We are beginning a review of our Local Plan for the towns and villages outside of the Yorkshire Dales National Park. We particularly want to hear your thoughts on the key issues and opportunities the revised Local Plan should address. This stage will involve identifying some strategic options and initial ideas for the strategic policies in certain areas including the scale and location of housing and economic development. Please provide your views and comments on the Issues and Options document by completing this consultation questionnaire.

Closing date: 31 October 2018

The personal information collected in this questionnaire will be dealt with according to the data protection principles as set out in the Data Protection Act 2018. Your details will be held in order to keep you up-to-date with progress. All comments and names will be available for public viewing. If you require further information about how your data is processed please view the council's privacy notice at www.richmondshire.gov.uk/about-the-council/data-protection/your-privacy/

Your details (mandatory):

Name:

Address including postcode:

Telephone number:

Email:

Agent details (if applicable):

Organisation:

Name:

Address including postcode:

Telephone number:

Email:

Important information:

Please include all relevant information within the space provided for each question. Responses are limited to **7000 words** per question. A countdown will indicate the number of words remaining against each question.

You **do not** have to complete the entire form at once. There is an option to save and return later. You can print your completed form before submission if you choose.

If you have a site that you would like the Council to consider for development this should be submitted through the separate Call for Sites process. Further information is available on our website by inserting 'call for sites' in the search facility.

The following set of six questions relate to
Section 3: Strategic Issues and Opportunities facing Richmondshire

Q1 Do you agree with the addition of the Scotch Corner Designer Outlet to Strategic Issue B?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q2 Do you agree with the re-wording of Strategic Issue F to include a 'wider range of tenures'?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q3 Do you agree with the re-wording of Strategic Issue G to include a 'wider range of employment opportunities'?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q4 Do you agree with the inclusion of Strategic Issue L regarding the declining and ageing population?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q5 Do you agree with the inclusion of Strategic Issue M regarding the upgraded strategic road network?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q6 Do you agree with the Strategic Issues proposed?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q7 Are there any other Strategic Issues you feel should be included?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

**The following question relates to
Section 4: Vision**

Q8 Do you agree with the Vision proposed?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

The following set of two questions relate to
Section 5: Strategic Objectives

Q9 Do you agree with the Strategic Objectives proposed?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q10 Do you think any other Strategic Objectives should be included?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

The following set of two questions relate to
Section 6: Local Objectives

Q11 Do you agree with the Local Objectives proposed?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q12 Do you think any additional Local Objectives should be included?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

**The following question relates to
Section 7.1: Sub Areas**

Q13 Which is your preferred option in relation to the Sub Areas?

- Option A:** Retain three Sub Areas of Central Richmondshire, Lower Wensleydale and North Richmondshire
- Option B:** Include an additional Sub Area for the area within the Catterick Area Masterplan Boundary
- Option C:** Remove Sub Areas

If there any other options which you feel should be considered, please explain why and provide evidence:

**The following six questions relate to
Section 7.2: Settlement Hierarchy**

Q14 Are the four levels set still appropriate?

- Yes No Not sure

Do you think there is a need to increase or decrease the number of levels to better reflect the role and nature of settlements?

- Yes No Not sure

Please explain further:

Q15 Should an additional tier be added to the hierarchy to separate smaller villages from elsewhere hamlets and isolated dwellings in the countryside?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q16 Is the criteria set for each of the four levels in the hierarchy still appropriate?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q17 Do the settlements identified within the settlement hierarchy still fulfil the criteria of that level in the settlement hierarchy?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain further:

Q18 Are there any settlements not identified within the Settlement Hierarchy that you consider should be?

Yes

No

Not sure

If 'Yes', please explain why and provide evidence:

Q19 Do you have any comments to make on the Settlement Facilities Study (2017)?

Yes

No

Not sure

If 'Yes', please explain why and provide evidence:

**The following two questions relate to
Section 7.3: Rural Sustainability**

Q20 Do you feel it is still necessary for rural sustainability to be included as ...?

	Yes	No	Not sure
a separate Spatial Principle policy; or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
be addressed through more detailed policies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please explain further:			

Q21 Are there any amendments you consider should be made to the existing Spatial Principle on Rural Sustainability?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain and provide evidence:

The following two questions relate to Section 7.4: Scale of Housing

Q22 Which scale of housing option do you consider to be the most appropriate, taking into account the way each has been calculated?

Option A - Low Scenario - 15 homes per annum

Option B - Medium Scenario - 120 homes per annum

Option C - High Scenario - 160 homes per annum

Please explain further:

Q23 Are there any other scale of housing options you think the Council should consider?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain why and provide evidence:

**The following two questions relate to
Section 7.5: Distribution of Housing**

Q24 Which distribution of housing option do you consider to be the most appropriate?

- Option 1:** Retain existing distribution
- Option 2:** Reduction in civilian housing numbers at Catterick Garrison and redistribute proportionately across the rest of the Settlement Hierarchy
- Option 3:** Reduction in civilian housing numbers at Catterick Garrison and redistribute to increase numbers in Secondary and Elsewhere settlements
- Option 4:** Reduction in civilian housing numbers at Catterick Garrison and redistribute to increase numbers in Richmond, Leyburn and the Primary Service Villages
- Option 5:** Increase in civilian housing numbers at Catterick Garrison and reduce numbers proportionately across the rest of the Settlement Hierarchy
- Option 6:** Increase in civilian housing numbers at Catterick Garrison and redistribute to reduce numbers in Secondary and Elsewhere settlements
- Option 7:** Increase in civilian housing numbers at Catterick Garrison and redistribute to reduce numbers in Richmond, Leyburn and the Primary Service Villages

Please explain further:

Q25 Are there any other distribution of housing options you think the Council should consider?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain why and provide evidence:

**The following two questions relate to
Section 7.6: Scale of Economic Development**

Q26 Which scale of economic development do you consider to be the most appropriate, taking in to account the way each has been calculated?

Option 1 - Low Growth

Option 2 - Medium Growth

Option 3 - High Growth

Please explain further:

Q27 Are there any other scale of economic development options you think the Council should consider?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain why and provide evidence:

**The following two questions relate to
Section 7.7: Distribution of Economic Development**

Q28 Which distribution of economic development options do you consider to be the most appropriate?

Option 1 - Combined Approach

Option 2 - Consolidation of existing employment locations

Option 3 - Motorway Junctions

Option 4 - Motorway Junctions and existing employment locations

Please explain why and provide evidence:

Q29 Are there any other distribution of economic development options you think the Council should consider?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain why and provide evidence:

**The following question relates to
Section 7.8: Other Spatial Principles**

Q30 Are there any other areas or topics you feel should be included as Spatial Principles?

Yes

No

Not sure

Please explain why and provide evidence:

Thank you for your time and comments.