68

Ack

Richmondshire District Council
Local Plan Core Strategy
Proposed Submission
August 2012
Representations Form

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form

This form comprises two parts:
Part A: Personal details
Part B: Your representation(s)

Both Part A and Part B of this form need to be completed in order for your representation(s) to be valid. Please complete a separate sheet (Part B) for each representation you wish to make. Further copies of this form can be downloaded from www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx . You do not need to fill out Part A for each representation, provided that all representations made are securely attached.

Your completed form must be returned to the following address to reach us no later than **4pm on Friday 14 September 2012:**

Planning Policy, Richmondshire District Council, Swale House, Frenchgate, Richmond, DL10 4JE

Alternatively, you can email: localplan@richmondshire.gov.uk and submit this form as an attachment.

Please note, all representations will be made available for public inspection on our website www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx with personal details (i.e. email address, telephone number and signature) removed.

Part A – Personal details

	Your Details	Agent's Details
Title	Mr	Miss
First name	Michael	Tessa
Last name	Corner	Barber
Job title (if applicable)		Planner
Work/organisation (if applicable)		England & Lyle Ltd
Address	C/O Agent	Gateway House 55 Coniscliffe Road Darlington
Postcode		DL3 7EH
Telephone No.		(01325) 469236
Email address		info@england-lyle.co.uk

Part B - Your representation

Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

Name/Organisation	England & Lyle Ltd

Q1.To which part of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document does this representation relate? (Spatial Principle, Sub Area Strategy or Core Policy)	
Policy number (e.g. SP2, CRSS or CP8)	Spatial Principles– Policy SP4 Scale and Distribution and Housing
Page/paragraph number(s)	Page 23 - 25

<u>Please note:</u> Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to fully support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. <u>After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.</u>

Q2. Do you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is…?						
(a) Legally compliant	Yes		No		Don't Know	X
(b) Sound	Yes		No	X	Don't Know	
If you answered 'No' to (a) or (b) above, please continue to Q3, otherwise continue to Q5.						

Q3. Do you consider the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (please refer to the guidance notes)	
(a) Justified	**X**
(b) Effective	
(c) Consistent with national policy	**X**
(d) Positively prepared	**X**

Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible:
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q4. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q3 where this relates to soundness. You need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:

It is our view that the housing requirements/targets set out in Policy SP4 should be increase to approximately 600 dwellings for the first 5 years of the plan (to address the affordable housing backlog) and thereafter 347 dwellings per annum for the remainder of the plan period to address affordable and market needs.

The suggested quantum of development, coupled with the affordable housing requirement, as set out in Policy CP6 (Providing Affordable Housing), will allow for the Core Strategy to meet the full, objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing in the housing market area in a manner which is consistent with the policies set out in the National Planning Policy Framework.

Given the key role of Primary Service Villages in the delivery of the Council's Strategic Objectives we would suggest that areas of growth/key sites within Primary Service Villages are also identified in the Core Strategy. This approach would be consistent with Paragraph 47 (Bullet Point 1) of the NPPF which requires local planning authorities to 'boost significantly the supply of housing' in a number of ways, including:-

> *'use their evidence base to ensure that their Local Plan meets the full, objectively assessed needs of market and affordable housing in the housing market area, as far as consistent with the policies set out in this Framework,* ***<u>including key sites which are critical to the delivery of the housing strategy over the plan period</u>****' (Paragraph 47 NPPF (2012)'*

The provision of such areas of growth of specific sites will provide certainty within the market and reduce the prospect of speculative development proposals in inappropriate locations or no development proposals at all.

The land owned by Mr Corner at Barton (see below) provides an opportunity to address local market and affordable housing need, safeguard and enhance existing services and facilities by way of increased use and provide a genuine opportunity to safeguard and enhance local quality of life, enhance the local environment and enhance the historic characteristics and qualities of the settlement.

Mindful of the scale of development that will be apportioned to Primary Service Villages in North Richmondshire in the emerging Core Strategy, it is our view that this sites, as attached, represent the most suitable and deliverable site (in environmental, historic, visual and sustainable terms) in Barton for development that will bolster and enhance its role of the village as a Primary Service Village to serve its wider rural catchment.

In addition, to the delivery of housing to assist in safeguarding and enhancing the existing services and facilities in the village by way of a slightly increased population, the site offers an opportunity to assist in addressing a number of longstanding local issues as well as bringing wider community benefits to the village and a considerable contribution towards affordable housing needs.

The development of the site would accord with the aspirations and details of the North Richmondshire Spatial Strategy (NRSS) and all other relevant proposed Core Policies.

The above-mentioned approach will ensure that the Core Strategy Local Plan is:-

Positively Prepared – The proposals will set out a strategy which seeks to meet the objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements of the plan area including tackling the significant affordable housing shortfalls of the District.

Justified – The above-mentioned proposal would be the most appropriate strategy by providing significant amounts of development land, across a range of relatively unconstrained sites, providing the right amount of development to meet the identified need of the plan area.

The proposed approach of the Council to affordable and market housing delivery has not evolved through a thorough assessment of alternatives. The Core Strategy submission draft provides no logical justification, having regard to national planning policy, why any approach other than meeting the full and identified needs of the plan area should be adopted.

Effective – The land and quantum of development suggested above will assist in meeting the identified development needs of the District in a viable and deliverable manner in the short to medium term.

Consistent with National Policy – the amount of housing development suggested above will ensure that the Local Plan meets the full, objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing in the housing market area (Paragraph 47 of the NPPF).

Moreover, the delivery of significant (larger) scale of development at Catterick Garrison (the main Principal Town for development) and in turn the Primary and Secondary Service Villages, in our professional view, will fully accord with the policies set out within the National Planning Policy Framework, in particular, in respect of delivering a wide choice of high quality homes.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, please use the space below to set out your comments:

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?	
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination	X
Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination	

If you have selected 'No', your representation(s) will still be considered by the independent Planning Inspector by way of written representations.

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

If you wish to be notified of future stages of plan preparation, please tick the appropriate box(es) below.			
Submission for Examination	X	**Notify me by:** (please tick)	
Inspector's Report Publication	X	Post	
Adoption	X	Email	X

Please Note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:	T Barber
Date:	14/09/2012