Ack Wynn - Comments 9120

10

RICHMONDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Richmondshire District Council
Local Plan Core Strategy
Proposed Submission
August 2012

Representations Form

RICHMONDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL
REC'D 10 SEP 2012

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form

This form comprises two parts:
Part A - Personal details
Part B - Your representation(s)

Both Part A and Part B of this form need to be completed in order for your representation(s) to be valid. Please complete a separate sheet (Part B) for each representation you wish to make. Further copies of this form can be downloaded from www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx
You do not need to fill out Part A for each representation, provided that all representations made are securely attached.

Your completed form must be returned to the following address to reach us no later than 4pm on Friday 14 September 2012:

Planning Policy
Richmondshire District Council
Swale House
Frenchgate
Richmond
DL10 4JE

Alternatively, you can email localplan@richmondshire.gov.uk and submit this form as an attachment.

Please note, all representations will be made available for public inspection on our website www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx with personal details (i.e. email address, telephone number and signature) removed.

PART A - Personal details

	YOUR DETAILS	AGENT'S DETAILS
Title	CLLR	
First name	NYNN	
Last name	DELF	
Job title (if applicable)	CHAIRMAN	
Work/Organisation (if applicable)	ST MARTINS P.C.	
Address	c/o 8 Sleegill St Marys RICHMOND	
Postcode	DL10 4RH	
Telephone no.		
Email address		

PART B - Your representation(s)
Please use a separate form for each representation

Name/Organisation:

Q1 To which part of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document does this representation relate? (Spatial Principle, Sub Area Strategy or Core Policy)

Policy number (e.g. SP2, CRSS or CP8)

Page/paragraph number(s)

Please Note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to fully support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q2 Do you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is ...?

	Yes	*No*	*Don't know*
(a) Legally compliant	☐	☐	☐
(b) Sound	☐	☐	☐

If you answered '*No*' to (a) or (b) above, please continue to Q3, otherwise continue to Q5

Q3 Do you consider the Core Strategy unsound because it is NOT...? *(please refer to guidance notes)*

- ☐ *Justified*
- ☐ *Effective*
- ☐ *Consistent with national policy*
- ☐ *Positively prepared*

Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible:

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Q4 Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q3 where this relates to soundness. You need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:

Q5 If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, please use the space below to set out your comments:

3.130. MILITARY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT – 1440 SERVICE HOMES & 4 UNITS. (HOW DOES THIS FIT WITH PROPOSAL TO AUCTION SITES FOR HOUSING –) THIS INCREASE SEEMS TO BE CONTRADICTED BY 2.12. – UNITS RETURNING FROM GERMANY WILL MAINLY BE RE-DEPLOYED TO SCOTLAND!

LARGE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS AT LEYBURN AND COLBURN WOULD SEEM TO INDICATE PRIORITY FOR EXTRA SCHOOL PROVISION

WILL HOUSE BUILDING LEVY SERIOUSLY IMPACT ON BUILDING – ESPECIALLY AFFORDABLE HOUSING – PLAN?

NARROW SCALE RETAIL DEVELOPMENT AT CG MUST AFFECT RICHMOND

IS THERE TO BE PROVISION OF SPORTS GROUND TO REPLACE CENTRAL STADIUM – SPORTS FIELD WITH FACILITIES SEEM TO BE IN SHORT SUPPLY ON THE GARRISON.

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

- [ ] *No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination*
- [ ] *Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination*

If you have selected '*No*', your representation(s) will still be considered by the independent Planning Inspector by way of written representations.

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

If you wish to be notified of future stages of plan preparation, please tick the appropriate box(es) below:

- [ ] *Submission for Examination*
- [x] *Inspector's Report Publication*
- [ ] *Adoption*

Please notify me by:

- [x] *Post*
- [ ] *Email*

Please Note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: **Date:** 6.9.17