Richmondshire District Council
Local Plan Core Strategy
Proposed Submission
August 2012
Representations Form

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form

This form comprises two parts:
Part A: Personal details
Part B: Your representation(s)

Both Part A and Part B of this form need to be completed in order for your representation(s) to be valid. Please complete a separate sheet (Part B) for each representation you wish to make. Further copies of this form can be downloaded from www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx . You do not need to fill out Part A for each representation, provided that all representations made are securely attached.

Your completed form must be returned to the following address to reach us no later than **4pm on Friday 14 September 2012:**

Planning Policy, Richmondshire District Council, Swale House, Frenchgate, Richmond, DL10 4JE

Alternatively, you can email: localplan@richmondshire.gov.uk and submit this form as an attachment.

Please note, all representations will be made available for public inspection on our website www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx with personal details (i.e. email address, telephone number and signature) removed.

Part A – Personal details

	Your Details	Agent's Details
Title	Ms	
First name	Rose	
Last name	Freeman	
Job title (if applicable)	Planning Policy Officer	
Work/organisation (if applicable)	The Theatres Trust	
Address	22 Charing Cross Road London	
Postcode	WC2H 0QL	
Telephone No.	020 7836 8591	
Email address	planning@theatrestrust.org.uk	

Part B - Your representation

Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

Name/Organisation	The Theatres Trust

Q1.To which part of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document does this representation relate? (Spatial Principle, Sub Area Strategy or Core Policy)	
Policy number (e.g. SP2, CRSS or CP8)	CP11 (and CP9)
Page/paragraph number(s)	

<u>Please note:</u> Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to fully support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. <u>After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.</u>

Q2. Do you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is…?						
(a) Legally compliant	Yes	Yes	No		Don't Know	
(b) Sound	Yes		No	No	Don't Know	
If you answered 'No' to (a) or (b) above, please continue to Q3, otherwise continue to Q5.						

Q3. **Do you consider the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (please refer to the guidance notes)**	
(a) Justified	
(b) Effective	not
(c) Consistent with national policy	not
(d) Positively prepared	

Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible:

Policy CP11
We consider the plan to be unsound because it does not reflect item 70 in the NPPF on page 17 which states that to deliver the social, recreational and <u>cultural</u> facilities and services that the community needs, planning policies and decisions should plan for the use of shared space and guard against unnecessary loss of valued facilities. Also to ensure that established facilities and services are retained and able to develop for the benefit of the community.

Paragraph 4.11.1 includes the word 'cultural' but the actual policy does not use the word 'cultural'. This would be the ideal policy to protect, retain and enhance the Georgian Theatre, mentioned in para.3.2.2 as one of two 'important cultural centres'. As such, it should be protected in the most appropriate policy - CP11.

Strategic Objective c. in para.3.1.4 states that Richmond will be the main focus for cultural activities and facilities, but improvements to cultural facilities does not

appear in Policy CP9, nor anywhere else in the document.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q4. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q3 where this relates to soundness. You need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:

We suggest that the title of Policy CP11 is amended to include the word 'cultural' – Community, Cultural and Recreation Assets.

And there is no satisfactory description for the term 'community assets' in para.4.11.3 to explain what is meant by the term 'community assets'. We suggest an entry is added in the Glossary and that para.4.1.3 is amended for clarity – we suggest - *community assets provide for the health and wellbeing, social, educational, spiritual, recreational, leisure and cultural needs of the community* which will cover all eventualities and saves having to provide a string of examples.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, please use the space below to set out your comments:

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?	
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination	No
Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination	

If you have selected 'No', your representation(s) will still be considered by the independent Planning Inspector by way of written representations.

If you wish to be notified of future stages of plan preparation, please tick the appropriate box(es) below.			
Submission for Examination	Yes	**Notify me by:** (please tick)	
Inspector's Report Publication	Yes	Post	
Adoption	Yes	Email	Yes

Please Note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:	Rose Freeman
Date:	12 September 2012

Richmondshire District Council
Local Plan Core Strategy
Proposed Submission
August 2012
Representations Form

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form

This form comprises two parts:
Part A: Personal details
Part B: Your representation(s)

Both Part A and Part B of this form need to be completed in order for your representation(s) to be valid. Please complete a separate sheet (Part B) for each representation you wish to make. Further copies of this form can be downloaded from www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx . You do not need to fill out Part A for each representation, provided that all representations made are securely attached.

Your completed form must be returned to the following address to reach us no later than **4pm on Friday 14 September 2012:**

Planning Policy, Richmondshire District Council, Swale House, Frenchgate, Richmond, DL10 4JE

Alternatively, you can email: localplan@richmondshire.gov.uk and submit this form as an attachment.

Please note, all representations will be made available for public inspection on our website www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx with personal details (i.e. email address, telephone number and signature) removed.

Part A – Personal details

	Your Details	Agent's Details
Title	Ms	
First name	Rose	
Last name	Freeman	
Job title (if applicable)	Planning Policy Officer	
Work/organisation (if applicable)	The Theatres Trust	
Address	22 Charing Cross Road London	
Postcode	WC2H 0QL	
Telephone No.	020 7836 8591	
Email address	planning@theatrestrust.org.uk	

Part B - Your representation

Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

Name/Organisation	The Theatres Trust

Q1.To which part of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document does this representation relate? (Spatial Principle, Sub Area Strategy or Core Policy)	
Policy number (e.g. SP2, CRSS or CP8)	CP11 (and CP9)
Page/paragraph number(s)	

<u>Please note:</u> Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to fully support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. <u>After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.</u>

Q2. Do you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is…?						
(a) Legally compliant	Yes	Yes	No		Don't Know	
(b) Sound	Yes		No	No	Don't Know	
If you answered 'No' to (a) or (b) above, please continue to Q3, otherwise continue to Q5.						

Q3. **Do you consider the Core Strategy is unsound because it is not: (please refer to the guidance notes)**	
(a) Justified	
(b) Effective	not
(c) Consistent with national policy	not
(d) Positively prepared	

Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible:

Policy CP11
We consider the plan to be unsound because it does not reflect item 70 in the NPPF on page 17 which states that to deliver the social, recreational and <u>cultural</u> facilities and services that the community needs, planning policies and decisions should plan for the use of shared space and guard against unnecessary loss of valued facilities. Also to ensure that established facilities and services are retained and able to develop for the benefit of the community.

Paragraph 4.11.1 includes the word 'cultural' but the actual policy does not use the word 'cultural'. This would be the ideal policy to protect, retain and enhance the Georgian Theatre, mentioned in para.3.2.2 as one of two 'important cultural centres'. As such, it should be protected in the most appropriate policy - CP11.

Strategic Objective c. in para.3.1.4 states that Richmond will be the main focus for cultural activities and facilities, but improvements to cultural facilities does not

appear in Policy CP9, nor anywhere else in the document.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q4. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q3 where this relates to soundness. You need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:

We suggest that the title of Policy CP11 is amended to include the word 'cultural' – Community, Cultural and Recreation Assets.

And there is no satisfactory description for the term 'community assets' in para.4.11.3 to explain what is meant by the term 'community assets'. We suggest an entry is added in the Glossary and that para.4.1.3 is amended for clarity – we suggest - *community assets provide for the health and wellbeing, social, educational, spiritual, recreational, leisure and cultural needs of the community* which will cover all eventualities and saves having to provide a string of examples.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Q5. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, please use the space below to set out your comments:

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?	
No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination	No
Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination	

If you have selected 'No', your representation(s) will still be considered by the independent Planning Inspector by way of written representations.

If you wish to be notified of future stages of plan preparation, please tick the appropriate box(es) below.			
Submission for Examination	Yes	**Notify me by:** (please tick)	
Inspector's Report Publication	Yes	Post	
Adoption	Yes	Email	Yes

Please Note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature:	Rose Freeman
Date:	12 September 2012