Ack 1393

RICHMONDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Richmondshire District Council
Local Plan Core Strategy
Proposed Submission
August 2012

Representations Form

HAMBLETON D.C
18 SEP 2012
PES | RES | CX | ACK

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form

This form comprises two parts:
Part A - Personal details
Part B - Your representation(s)

Both Part A and Part B of this form need to be completed in order for your representation(s) to be valid. Please complete a separate sheet (Part B) for each representation you wish to make. Further copies of this form can be downloaded from www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx
You do not need to fill out Part A for each representation, provided that all representations made are securely attached.

Your completed form must be returned to the following address to reach us no later than **4pm on Friday 14 September 2012**:

Planning Policy
Richmondshire District Council
Swale House
Frenchgate
Richmond
DL10 4JE

Alternatively, you can email localplan@richmondshire.gov.uk and submit this form as an attachment.

Please note, all representations will be made available for public inspection on our website www.richmondshire.gov.uk/localplan.aspx with personal details (i.e. email address, telephone number and signature) removed.

PART A - Personal details

	YOUR DETAILS	AGENT'S DETAILS
Title	Mr	
First name	IAN	
Last name	THRELFALL	
Job title (if applicable)		
Work/Organisation (if applicable)		
Address	THE OLDE BARN UCKERBY SCORTON RICHMOND NORTH YORKSHIRE	
Postcode	DL10 6DA	
Telephone no.		
Email address		

PART B - Your representation(s)
Please use a separate form for each representation

Name/Organisation: Mr Ian Threlfall.

Q1 To which part of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document does this representation relate? (Spatial Principle, Sub Area Strategy or Core Policy)

Policy number (e.g. SP2, CRSS or CP8) CP6

Page/paragraph number(s) 4.67 67.

Please Note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to fully support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Q2 Do you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is ...?

	Yes	*No*	*Don't know*
(a) Legally compliant	☑	☐	☐
(b) Sound	☐	☑	☐

If you answered '*No*' to (a) or (b) above, please continue to Q3, otherwise continue to Q5

Q3 Do you consider the Core Strategy unsound because it is NOT...? ***(please refer to guidance notes)***

- ☐ *Justified*
- ☑ *Effective*
- ☐ *Consistent with national policy*
- ☐ *Positively prepared*

Please give details of why you consider the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible:

CP6 4.67. IS TO RESTRICTIVE ASKING FOR AN AFFORDABLE HOUSE CONTRIBUTION ON A SINGLE HOUSE DEVELOPMENT. THIS WILL HAVE A NEGATIVE RESULT! IN MAKING A TRULY AFFORDABLE HOUSE IE: SELF BUILD FAMILY HOUSE BUILD ON GIFTED LAND OF GIFTED BARN FOR CONVERSION, ALL COULD BECOME UNAFFORDABLE WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTION FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING OVER AN ABOVE THE TRUE FINANCIAL BUILD COST, PUT EXTRA STRAIN ON THE NEED FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSE STOCK.

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Q4 Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q3 where this relates to soundness. You need to say why this change will make the Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible:

WHILST A REDUCTION FROM THE PRESENT EXEMPTION SITE SIZE OF 4 HOUSES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED, TO REDUCE THIS TO ZERO EXEMPTION IS PUTTING AT RISK BOTH CORE LOCAL RURAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AND THE DELICATE LOCAL BUILDING ECONOMY

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Q5 If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, please use the space below to set out your comments:

continue on a separate sheet if necessary

If your representation is seeking a change to the Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

- [x] *No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination*
- [ ] *Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination*

If you have selected '*No*', your representation(s) will still be considered by the independent Planning Inspector by way of written representations.

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

If you wish to be notified of future stages of plan preparation, please tick the appropriate box(es) below:

- [x] *Submission for Examination*
- [ ] *Inspector's Report Publication*
- [x] *Adoption*

Please notify me by:

- [ ] *Post*
- [x] *Email*

Please Note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature: **Date:** 13 Sept 2012